

## Lecture 2

(2.1) Drinfeld's presentation

$\mathcal{Y} := \mathcal{Y}_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$  is the unital associative algebra  
(over  $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\hbar \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ) generated by

$$\xi_n, x_n^{\pm} \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

subject to the following relations:

$$(Y1) \quad [\xi_n, \xi_s] = 0$$

$$(Y2) \quad [\xi_0, x_n^{\pm}] = \pm 2x_n^{\pm}$$

$$(Y3) \quad [\xi_{n+1}, x_s^{\pm}] - [\xi_n, x_{s+1}^{\pm}] = \\ = \pm \hbar (\xi_n \cdot x_s^{\pm} + x_s^{\pm} \cdot \xi_n)$$

$$(Y4) \quad [x_{n+1}^{\pm}, x_s^{\pm}] - [x_n^{\pm}, x_{s+1}^{\pm}] = \\ = \pm \hbar (x_n^{\pm} \cdot x_s^{\pm} + x_s^{\pm} \cdot x_n^{\pm})$$

$$(Y5) \quad [x_n^+, x_s^-] = \xi_{n+s}$$

## Remarks

(1)  $\hbar$  formal:  $\mathcal{Y}$  is  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded with  $\deg \hbar = 1$  and

$$\deg \xi_n = n = \deg x_n^\pm$$

$\hbar \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ :  $\mathcal{Y}$  is  $\mathbb{N}$ -filtered

$$(2) \mathcal{Y} / \hbar \mathcal{Y} \simeq u(\mathfrak{g}[t]) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_n^+ \equiv e \otimes t^n \\ \xi_n \equiv \hbar \otimes t^n \\ x_n^- \equiv f \otimes t^n \end{array} \right.$$

(2.2) Functional relations

Generating series:  $\xi(u) := 1 + \hbar \sum_{n \geq 0} \xi_n u^{-n-1}$

$$x^\pm(u) := \hbar \sum_{n \geq 0} x_n^\pm u^{-n-1}$$

Lemma  $\mathcal{Y}$  is generated by  $x^\pm(u)$ ,  $\xi(u)$  with relations

$$(Y1) \quad [\xi(u), \xi(v)] = 0$$

$$(Y2) \quad \xi(u) \cdot x^\pm(v) \cdot \xi(u)^{-1} =$$

$$(Y3) \quad = \frac{u-v \pm \hbar}{u-v \mp \hbar} x^\pm(v) \mp \frac{2\hbar}{u-v \mp \hbar} x^\pm(u \mp \hbar)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Upsilon 4) \quad x^\pm(u) \cdot x^\pm(v) - \frac{u-v \pm \hbar}{u-v \mp \hbar} x^\pm(v) x^\pm(u) &= \\
 &= \frac{\hbar}{u-v \mp \hbar} \left( [x_0^\pm, x^\pm(u)] - [x^\pm(v), x_0^\pm] \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(\Upsilon 5) \quad [x^+(u), x^-(v)] = \frac{\hbar}{u-v} (\xi(v) - \xi(u))$$

Remark Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra.

The Yangian  $Y_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$  is generated by  $x_i^\pm(u), \xi_i(u)$  with relations  $(\Upsilon 1) - (\Upsilon 5)$  with  $\hbar \rightsquigarrow \hbar \cdot d_i a_{ij} / 2$  plus the Drinfeld-Serre relations  $(i \neq j, m = 1 - a_{ij})$

$$\sum_{\pi \in S_m} \left[ x_i^\pm(u_{\pi(1)}), [x_i^\pm(u_{\pi(2)}), \dots \right. \\
 \left. \dots [x_i^\pm(u_{\pi(m)}), x_j^\pm(v)] \dots \right] = 0$$

pf (Y1) clear.

(Y5) Note that

$$\xi(v) - \xi(u) = \hbar \sum_{n \geq 0} \xi_n v^{-n-1} - \hbar \sum_{s \geq 0} \xi_s u^{-s-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (u-v) \cdot [x^+(u), x^-(v)] &= \\ &= \hbar^2 \sum_{r,s \geq 0} [x_r^+, x_s^-] (u^{-r} \cdot v^{-s-1} - u^{-r-1} \cdot v^{-s}) \\ &= \hbar^2 \sum_{t \geq 0} \xi_t \underbrace{\sum_{r+s=t} (u^{-r} \cdot v^{-s-1} - u^{-r-1} \cdot v^{-s})}_{(v^{-t-1} - u^{-t-1})} \end{aligned}$$

(Y2) + (Y3) We want to show that

$$(*) \quad (u-v \mp \hbar) \cdot \xi(u) \cdot x^\pm(v) - (u-v \pm \hbar) \cdot x^\pm(v) \cdot \xi(u)$$

is independent of  $v$ :

$$(uv^{-s-1}) \quad \hbar (x_s^\pm - x_s^\pm) = 0$$

$$(v^{-s-1}) \quad \hbar^2 [\xi_0, x_s^\pm] \mp 2\hbar x_s^\pm = 0 \text{ iff (Y2)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (u^{-r-1} \cdot v^{-s-1}) \quad &\hbar^2 \left( [\xi_{r+1}, x_s^\pm] - [\xi_r, x_{s+1}^\pm] \right. \\ &\left. \mp \hbar (\xi_r x_s^\pm + x_s^\pm \xi_r) \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

iff (Y3)

Being independent of  $v$ ,  $(*)$  is equal to its value at  $v = u \mp \hbar$ , thus

$$(Y4) \quad \begin{aligned} (u - v \mp \hbar) \cdot \xi(u) \cdot x^\pm(v) - (u - v \pm \hbar) x^\pm(v) \cdot \xi(u) \\ = \mp 2\hbar \cdot x^\pm(u \mp \hbar) \cdot \xi(u) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hbar^2 \sum_{r,s \geq 0} \left( [x_{r+1}^\pm, x_s^\pm] - [x_r^\pm, x_{s+1}^\pm] \right) u^{-r-1} \cdot v^{-s-1} = \\ = \pm \hbar^2 \hbar \sum_{r,s \geq 0} \left( x_r^\pm \cdot x_s^\pm + x_s^\pm \cdot x_r^\pm \right) u^{-r-1} \cdot v^{-s-1} \end{aligned}$$

note that  $\hbar \sum_{r \geq 0} x_{r+1}^\pm \cdot u^{-r-1} = u x^\pm(u) - \hbar x_0^\pm$ ,

thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} [u x^\pm(u) - \hbar x_0^\pm, x^\pm(v)] - [x^\pm(u), v x^\pm(v) - \hbar x_0^\pm] = \\ = \pm \hbar (x^\pm(u) x^\pm(v) + x^\pm(v) x^\pm(u)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (u - v \pm \hbar) x^\pm(u) x^\pm(v) - (u - v \mp \hbar) x^\pm(v) x^\pm(u) = \\ = \hbar \left( [x_0^\pm, x^\pm(v)] - [x^\pm(u), x_0^\pm] \right) \end{aligned}$$

□

### (2.3) Shift automorphism

Relying on the "functional presentation", we see immediately that there is a 1-parameter family of

automorphisms  $\tau_s: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , given by

$$\tau_s(y(u)) = y(u-s) \quad y = x^\pm, \xi$$

### (2.4) Levendorskii's presentation

$\mathcal{Y}'$  is generated by  $t_0, t_1, x_0^\pm, x_1^\pm$  with relations

$$(L1) \quad [t_n, t_s] = 0$$

$$n, s \in \{0, 1\}$$

$$(L2) \quad [t_0, x_n^\pm] = \pm 2 x_n^\pm$$

$$(L3) \quad [t_1, x_0^\pm] = \pm 2 x_1^\pm$$

$$(L4) \quad [x_1^\pm, x_0^\pm] - [x_0^\pm, x_1^\pm] = \pm 2 \hbar (x_0^\pm)^2$$

$$(L5) \quad [x_0^+, x_0^-] = t_0$$

$$[x_1^+, x_0^-] = t_1 + \frac{\hbar}{2} t_0^2 = [x_0^+, x_1^-]$$

$$(L7) \quad [[t_1, x_1^+], x_1^-] + [x_1^+, [t_1, x_1^-]] = 0$$

Remark Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be f.d. simple Lie algebra. Then,  $\mathfrak{y}'_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{g})$  is generated by  $t_{i,0}, t_{i,1}, x_{i,0}^{\pm}, x_{i,1}^{\pm}$  with relations L1-L7 above with  $\mathfrak{g} \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\mathfrak{h} \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{h}$ ,  $\delta_{ij}$  in r.h.s. of L5, and  $(x_{i,0}^{\pm})^2 \rightsquigarrow (x_{i,0}^{\pm} x_{j,0}^{\pm} + x_{j,0}^{\pm} x_{i,0}^{\pm})$  in r.h.s. of L4, together with the Serre relations

$$(L6) \quad (\text{ad } x_{i,0}^{\pm})^{1-a_{ij}} (x_{j,0}^{\pm}) = 0$$

Thm (Levendorskii 94)  $\mathfrak{y}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{y}$   
 $x_0^{\pm}, x_1^{\pm} \rightsquigarrow x_0^{\pm}, x_1^{\pm}$   
 $t_0, t_1 \rightsquigarrow \xi_0, \xi_1 - \frac{1}{2} \xi_0^2$

Remark (1)  $\mathfrak{h} \sum_{r \geq 0} t_r u^{-r-1} := \log(\xi(u))$   $\nearrow$

(2) Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra.

A similar presentation of  $\mathfrak{y}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{g}')$  was obtained by Guay, Nakajima, Wendlandt (2018) given  $\det(A_{ij}) \neq 0$  for any  $i \neq j$  and  $\exists a_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = -1$ .  
 (e.g.  $A \neq A_1^{(1)}, A_2^{(2)}$ )

## (2.4.1) Proof of Lervendonskii's presentation

One checks easily that  $y' \rightarrow y$  is an algebra map.

In order to define the converse  $\rho: y \rightarrow y'$

we set  $\rho(x_n^\pm) = x_n^\pm$  for  $n=0,1$ ,  $\rho(\xi_0) = t_0$ ,

$\rho(\xi_1) = t_1 + \frac{\hbar}{2} t_0^2$ , we define recursively

$$2 \rho(x_{n+1}^\pm) = \pm [t_1, \rho(x_n^\pm)]$$

and

$$\rho(\xi_n) = [\rho(x_n^+), x_0^-]$$

□



## (2.5) Rationality property

Lemma On a f.d. representation  $(V, \pi)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  the formal series  $\pi(\xi(u))$ ,  $\pi(x^\pm(u))$  in  $\text{end}(V) \llbracket u^{-1} \rrbracket$  are Taylor series expansions of  $\text{end}(V)$ -valued rational functions in  $u$ .

pf We have  $\text{ad}(t_1)(x_r^\pm) = \pm 2x_{r+1}^\pm$ , thus

$$\pm \frac{1}{2} \text{ad}(t_1)x^\pm(u) = u \cdot x^\pm(u) - \hbar x_0^\pm$$

and

$$\left( u \mp \frac{1}{2} \text{ad}(t_1) \right) (x^\pm(u)) = \hbar x_0^\pm$$

$$\Rightarrow x^\pm(u) = \left( u \mp \frac{1}{2} \text{ad}(t_1) \right)^{-1} \hbar x_0^\pm$$

$V$  f.d.  $\rightsquigarrow$   $\text{end}(V)$  f.d.  $\curvearrowright$   $\text{ad}(t_1)$

$\rightsquigarrow \left( u \mp \frac{1}{2} \text{ad}(t_1) \right)^{-1}$  is a rational  $\text{end}(\text{end}(V))$ -valued function in  $u$

Finally,  $\xi(u) = 1 + [x^+(u), x_0^-]$ . □

(2.6) The coproduct on  $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

There is a unique algebra map  $\Delta: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{Y}$  given by

$$\Delta(\xi_0) = \xi_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \xi_0$$

$$(*) \quad \Delta(x_0^\pm) = x_0^\pm \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_0^\pm$$

$$\Delta(t_1) = t_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes t_1 - 2\hbar x_0^- \otimes x_0^+$$

Recall that by (L3)  $x_1^\pm = \pm \frac{1}{2} [t_1, x_0^\pm]$ .

Rmk (i)  $U\mathfrak{sl}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_{\hbar} \mathfrak{sl}_2$  is a Hopf subalgebra.

(ii)  $U\mathfrak{sl}_2$  and  $t_1$  generate  $\mathcal{Y}$ , thus  $(*)$  determines  $\Delta$  uniquely. However, proving that  $\Delta$  is an algebra map is non-trivial and relies on Serendbrskii's presentation.

(iii) Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a f.d. simple Lie algebra.

$\mathcal{Y}_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$  is generated by  $U\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$  and

$t_{i,1}$  ( $i \in I$ ). Let  $\Phi$  be the set of roots of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

For any  $\beta \in \Phi^+$ , we choose dual root vectors  $x_{\beta,0}^\pm$

in  $U\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

In this case,  $\Delta$  is given by

$$\Delta(y_0) = y_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y_0 \quad y = \sum_i x_i^\pm$$

$$\Delta(t_{i,1}) = t_{i,1} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes t_{i,1} \\ - \hbar \sum_{\beta > 0} (\beta, \alpha_i) x_{\beta,0}^- \otimes x_{\beta,0}^+$$

(iv) The coproduct of  $Y_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$  originally appeared in Drinfeld's  $T$ -presentation, relying on the Casimir tensor  $\Omega \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ . No explicit formula for  $\Delta(x_n^\pm)$  seems to be known.

(v) The case of an arbitrary symmetrizable Kac-Moody is much harder. Indeed, it is reasonable to expect that, if it exists,

$$\Delta: Y_\hbar \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow Y_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}) \widehat{\otimes} Y_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}) \\ (\text{suitable completion})$$

\* affine Yangians [GNW18]

\* Maulik-Okounkov Yangians ( $\equiv$  symmetric case)

(2.7) Drinfeld's classification of irreducible finite-dimensional representations of  $\mathfrak{g}_h(\mathfrak{g})$  of f.d. simple Lie algebra,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_h(\mathfrak{g})$

Def A representation  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a highest weight representation (with highest weight  $\underline{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{N}}$ )

if  $\exists v \in V$  s.t.

$$\{\lambda_{i,r}\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}, r \in \mathbb{N}}$$

(i)  $x_{i,r}^+ \cdot v = 0$

(ii)  $\xi_{i,r} \cdot v = \lambda_{i,r} v$

(iii)  $V = \mathfrak{g} \cdot v$

Example Given  $\underline{\lambda} = \{\lambda_{i,r} \in \mathbb{C}\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}, r \in \mathbb{N}}$ , the Verma module

$$M(\underline{\lambda}) := \mathfrak{g} / (x_{i,r}^+, \xi_{i,r} - \lambda_{i,r} \mid i \in \mathbb{I}, r \in \mathbb{N})$$

has a unique maximal proper submodule  $M'(\underline{\lambda})$

and we set  $L(\underline{\lambda}) := M(\underline{\lambda}) / M'(\underline{\lambda})$ .

Thm (1) Every irreducible f.d. representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is h.w. (hence isomorphic to  $L(\underline{\lambda})$  for some  $\underline{\lambda}$ ).

(2)  $L(\underline{\lambda})$  is f.d. if and only if there exist monic polynomials  $P_i(u) \in \mathbb{C}[u]$  s.t.

$$\chi(u) := 1 + \hbar \sum_{r \geq 0} \lambda_{i,r} u^{-r-1} = \frac{P_i(u + d; \hbar)}{P_i(u)}$$

(expansion at  $u = \infty$ )

Example  $P(u) = u - a \quad (a \in \mathbb{C})$

$$\frac{P(u + \hbar)}{P(u)} = 1 + \hbar \sum_{r \geq 0} a^r \cdot u^{-r-1}$$

pf (1) Let  $V$  be a f.d. irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \supset \mathfrak{h}$  and as  $\mathfrak{h}$ -module

$$V = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} V_{\mu} \quad \leftarrow \text{weight space}$$

Let  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  be a maximal weight of  $V$  (i.e.  $V_{\lambda} \neq 0$  and  $V_{\mu} = 0$  for any  $\mu > \lambda$ ).

By (Y2)  $[\xi_{i,0}, x_{j,r}^+] = a_{ij} x_{i,r}^+$ , we have

$$x_{i,r}^+ \cdot V_\lambda = 0 \quad \forall i \in I, r \in \mathbb{N}$$

By (Y1)  $[\xi_{i,r}, \xi_{j,s}] = 0$ , thus  $\{\xi_{i,r} \mid i \in I, r \in \mathbb{N}\}$

is a commutative family of operators on the f.d.

space  $V_\lambda \Rightarrow \exists$  joint eigenvector  $v \in V_\lambda$ , i.e.

$$\xi_{i,r} \cdot v = \lambda_{i,r} \cdot v \quad \text{for some } \lambda_{i,r} \in \mathbb{C}$$

Finally, by irreducibility,  $\mathfrak{y} \cdot v = V$ .

(2) The proof is by

- reduction to rank one case by restriction to  $\mathfrak{y}_{d_i \mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{y}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$
- classification for  $\mathfrak{y}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

□

(2.8) Evaluation representations for  $\mathfrak{y}_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ .

Set  $\mathfrak{y} := \mathfrak{y}_\hbar(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ .

(2.8.1) Evaluation homomorphism.

For each  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have an algebra map

$$\text{ev}_a: \mathfrak{y} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}\mathfrak{sl}_2$$

s.t.

$$\text{ev}_a(\xi_0) := \hbar \quad \text{ev}_a(x_0^+) := e \quad \text{ev}_a(x_0^-) := f$$

$$\text{ev}_a(t_1) := a\hbar - \frac{\hbar}{2}(ef + fe)$$

Then, for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ , we set

$$M_\lambda(a) := \text{ev}_a^*(M_\lambda) \quad \rightsquigarrow \text{Verma over } \mathfrak{sl}_2$$

$$L_\lambda(a) := \text{ev}_a^*(L_\lambda) \quad \rightsquigarrow \text{irreducible over } \mathfrak{sl}_2$$

$$V(a) := \text{ev}_a^*(V) \quad \rightsquigarrow V \in \text{Rep}(\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{sl}_2)$$

## (2.8.2) Explicit description of $L_\lambda(a)$

Recall that  $M_\lambda$  has a basis  $\{m_\lambda(r)\}_{r \geq 0}$

with  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -action given by

$$h \cdot m_\lambda(r) = (\lambda - 2r) m_\lambda(r)$$

$$e \cdot m_\lambda(r) = (\lambda - r + 1) m_\lambda(r-1) \quad \leftarrow m_\lambda(-1) := 0$$

$$f \cdot m_\lambda(r) = (r+1) m_\lambda(r+1)$$

If  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{N}$ ,  $M_\lambda$  is irreducible and  $L_\lambda = M_\lambda$  is  $\infty$ -dim'l.

If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $e \cdot m_\lambda(\lambda+1) = 0$ ,  $M'_\lambda := (\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{sl}_2) \cdot m_\lambda(\lambda+1)$

is a proper submodule and

$$L_\lambda := M_\lambda / M'_\lambda \cong \text{span} \{m_\lambda(r) \mid 0 \leq r \leq \lambda\}$$

is the  $(\lambda+1)$ -dimensional irreducible representation.

Lemma Set  $a_r := a + \frac{h}{2}(\lambda - 2r + 1)$ .

The action of  $\mathcal{Y}$  on  $M_\lambda(a)$  (and  $L_\lambda(a)$ ) is given by

$$\xi(u) \cdot m_\lambda(r) = \frac{(u - a_0)(u - a_{\lambda+1})}{(u - a_r)(u - a_{r+1})} \cdot m_\lambda(r)$$



$$x^+(u) \cdot m_\lambda(r) = \hbar \frac{\lambda - r + 1}{u - a_r} \cdot m_\lambda(r-1)$$

$$x^-(u) \cdot m_\lambda(r) = \hbar \frac{r+1}{u - a_{r+1}} \cdot m_\lambda(r+1)$$

pf By  $ev_a(t_1) := a\hbar - \frac{\hbar}{2}(ef + fe)$ , we get

$$t_1 \cdot m_\lambda(r) = \left( a(\lambda - 2r) - \frac{\hbar}{2}\lambda - \hbar r(\lambda - r) \right) \cdot m_\lambda(r)$$

then

$$\text{ad}(t_1) \in \text{hom}(\mathbb{C}m_\lambda(r), \mathbb{C}m_\lambda(r-1))$$

$$\text{by } 2a + \hbar(\lambda - 2r + 1) = 2a_r$$

$$-a(\lambda - 2r) + \frac{\hbar}{2}\lambda + \hbar r(\lambda - r)$$

$$+ a(\lambda - 2r + 2) - \frac{\hbar}{2} - \hbar(r-1)(\lambda - r + 1)$$

Thus, from  $(u \mp \frac{1}{2} \text{ad}(t_1))(x^\pm(u)) = \hbar x_0^\pm$ , we

get

$$x^+(u) \cdot m_\lambda(r) = (u - a_r)^{-1} \hbar x_0^+ \cdot m_\lambda(r)$$

$$= \hbar \frac{\lambda - r + 1}{u - a_r} \cdot m_\lambda(r-1)$$

In the same way, we get

$$x^-(u) \cdot m_\lambda(r) = \hbar \frac{r+1}{u - a_{r+1}} \cdot m_\lambda(r+1)$$

and finally  $\xi(u) = 1 + [x^+(u), x^-]$ . □

(2.8.3) Drinfeld polynomial for  $L_\lambda(a)$  ( $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ )

Set  $b = a + \frac{\hbar}{2}(\lambda - 1)$ . Then we get

$$a_r = a + \frac{\hbar}{2}(\lambda - 1 - 2r + 2) = b - \hbar(r - 1)$$

$$\xi(u) \cdot m_\lambda(0) = \frac{u - b + \lambda \hbar}{u - b} \cdot m_\lambda(0)$$

$$\xi(u) \cdot m_\lambda(r) = \frac{(u - b - \hbar)(u - b + \lambda \hbar)}{(u - b + (r - 1)\hbar)(u - b - r\hbar)} \cdot m_\lambda(r)$$

$$x^+(u) \cdot m_\lambda(r) = \hbar \frac{\lambda - r + 1}{u - b + (r - 1)\hbar} \cdot m_\lambda(r - 1)$$

$$x^-(u) \cdot m_\lambda(r) = \hbar \frac{r + 1}{u - b + r\hbar} \cdot m_\lambda(r + 1)$$

Set  $V(\lambda, b) := e v_{b - \hbar/2(\lambda-1)}^* L_\lambda$ . Then

$$\sigma(V(\lambda, b)) = \begin{cases} \{b, b - \hbar, \dots, b - (\lambda-1)\hbar\} & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathbb{N} \\ \{b - \kappa\hbar \mid \kappa \geq 0\} & \text{if } \lambda \notin \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

↑  
poles of  $\xi(u), z^\pm(u)$  on  $V(\lambda, b)$

Finally note that if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$  the Drinfeld polynomial of  $V(\lambda, b)$  is given by

$$P(u) = \prod_{\kappa=0}^{\lambda-1} (u - b + \kappa\hbar) =: P_{\lambda, b}(u)$$

since

$$\frac{P(u+\hbar)}{P(u)} = \frac{u - b + \lambda\hbar}{u - b}$$

Moreover,  $\xi(P_{\lambda, b}) = \sigma(V(\lambda, b))$ .

↑  
zeros of  $P_{\lambda, b}$

## References

Chari, Pressley, 1995

A guide to quantum groups (ch. 12)

Drinfeld, 1988

A new realization of Yangians and

quantum loop algebras

Levendorskii, 1993

On generators and defining relations of Yangians

Quay, Nakajima, Wendlandt, 2018

Coproduct for Yangians of affine Kac-Moody algebras

Gautam, Toledo Laredo, Wendlandt, 2019

The meromorphic  $R$ -matrix of the Yangian