

lecture 3

(3.0) Last time

(3.0.1) We introduced the Drinfeld's presentation

of $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}_{\mathfrak{t}_k \otimes \mathfrak{g}}$ in terms of

$$x^\pm(u) := \hbar \sum_{n \geq 0} x_n^\pm u^{-n-1}$$

$$\xi(u) := 1 + \hbar \sum_{n \geq 0} \xi_n u^{-n-1}$$

(3.0.2) We reduced it to Levendorski's presentation

proving that \mathcal{Y} is generated by

$$x_0^\pm, t_0 := \xi_0, \text{ and } t_1 := \xi_1 - \frac{\hbar}{2} \xi_0^2$$

with the recursive relations

$$x^\pm(u) = \left(u \mp \frac{1}{2} \text{ad}(t_1) \right)^{-1} \hbar x_0^\pm$$

$$\xi(u) = 1 + [x^+(u), x_0^-]$$

(3.0.3) We used Levendorski's presentation to describe the Hopf algebra structure of \mathcal{Y} with coproduct $\Delta : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{Y}$

$$\Delta(\xi_0) = \xi_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \xi_0$$

$$\Delta(x_0^+) = x_0^+ \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_0^+$$

$$\Delta(t_1) = t_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes t_1 - 2\hbar x_0^- \otimes x_0^+$$

The counit and the antipode are as follows.

We have an algebra map $\varepsilon : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given

by $\varepsilon(y_r) = 0$ with $y = \xi, x^\pm$ and $r \geq 0$,

and a (ω)algebra anti-automorphism

$S : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ given by

$$S(y_0) = -y_0 \quad y = \xi, x^\pm$$

$$S(t_1) = -t_1 - 2\hbar x_0^- x_0^+$$

(3.0.3) We introduced the evaluation map

$\text{ev}_a : \mathcal{Y} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} (\alpha \in \mathbb{C})$ given by

$$\text{ev}_a(\xi_0) = h \quad \text{ev}_a(x_0^+) = e \quad \text{ev}_a(x_0^-) = f$$

$$\text{ev}_a(t_1) = ah - \frac{h}{2}(ef + fe)$$

and the evaluation representations

$$V(\lambda, b) = \text{ev}_{b - \frac{h}{2}(\lambda - 1)}^* L_\lambda \quad (\lambda, b \in \mathbb{C})$$

with basis $m_\lambda(r)$ ($0 \leq r < r^{\text{top}}$)

$$r^{\text{top}} = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } \lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\ \lambda & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \end{cases}$$

and action given by

$$\xi(u) \cdot m_\lambda(r) = \frac{(u - b - rh)(u - b + \lambda rh)}{(u - b + (r-1)rh)(u - b - rh)} \cdot m_\lambda(r)$$

$$x^+(u) \cdot m_\lambda(r) = h \frac{\lambda - r + 1}{u - b + (r-1)h} \cdot m_\lambda(r-1)$$

$$x^-(u) \cdot m_\lambda(r) = h \frac{r+1}{u - b + rh} \cdot m_\lambda(r+1)$$

(3.0.4) $V(\lambda, b)$ is irreducible and it is finite-dimensional iff $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. In

this case, $\dim(V(\lambda, b)) = \lambda + 1$ and

$$\xi(u) \cdot m_\lambda(0) = \frac{u - b + \lambda h}{u - b} \cdot m_\lambda(0)$$

$$= \frac{P(u + h)}{P(u)} \cdot m_\lambda(0)$$

$$P(u) = \prod_{k=0}^{\lambda-1} (u - b + kh) =: P_{\lambda, b}(u)$$

(Drinfeld's polynomial)

Note that we have

$$\frac{u - b + \lambda h}{u - b} = 1 + \lambda h \sum_{r \geq 0} b^r u^{-r-1}$$

and $x^+(u) \cdot m_\lambda(0) = 0$.

eigenvalues of ξ_r
on $m_\lambda(0)$

Remark

$$V(0, b) \simeq \mathbb{C} \rightsquigarrow P_{0, b}(u) = 1$$

$$V(1, b) \simeq \mathbb{C}^2 \rightsquigarrow P_{1, b}(u) = u - b \quad (\text{fundamental})$$

$$\text{ev}_b^* \mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{C}_b^2 \quad (\text{note } \lambda = 1 \rightsquigarrow b - \frac{h}{2}(\lambda - 1) = b)$$

(3.0.5) Given $\underline{\lambda} = \{ \lambda_r \in \mathbb{C} \mid r \geq 0 \}$, we

defined the Verma module

$$M(\underline{\lambda}) := \mathcal{Y} / \left(x_n^+, \xi_n - \lambda_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right)$$

and its irreducible quotient

$$L(\underline{\lambda}) := M(\underline{\lambda}) / M'(\underline{\lambda})$$

Today we complete the proof of the classification theorem for \mathfrak{sl}_2

Thm (1) Every irreducible f.d. representation of \mathcal{Y} is h.w. (hence isomorphic to $L(\underline{\lambda})$ for some $\underline{\lambda}$). ✓

(2) $L(\underline{\lambda})$ is f.d. if and only if there exist a (unique) monic polynomial $P(u) \in \mathbb{C}[u]$ s.t.

$$\lambda(u) := 1 + \kappa \sum_{r \geq 0} \lambda_r \cdot u^{-r-1} = \frac{P(u+\kappa)}{P(u)}$$

(3.1) Tensor product of representations

(with Drinfeld polynomials)

For the proof, we shall need few results about the tensor product of representations which have a Drinfeld polynomials (e.g. $V(\lambda, b)$).

Thus we need to understand the coproduct a bit more.

Lemma Set $\text{wt}(x_n^\pm) = \pm 2$ and $\text{wt}(\xi_n) = 0$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(x^+(u)) &= x^+(u) \otimes 1 + \xi(u) \otimes x^+(u) \\ &\quad + \text{elements of weights } (-2k) \otimes (2k+2) \quad k \geq 1\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\xi(u)) &= \xi(u) \otimes \xi(u) \\ &\quad + \text{elements of weights } (-2k) \otimes 2k \quad k \geq 1 \\ &\quad + -2 \otimes 2k\end{aligned}$$

pf We need to prove that

$$\Delta(x_n^+) = x_n^+ \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_n^+ + h \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \xi_k \otimes x_{n-k-1}^+ + \dots$$

We do it by induction on n by $2x_{n+1}^+ = [t_1, x_n^\pm]$

$$\text{and } \Delta(t_1) = t_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes t_1 - 2h x_0^- \otimes x_0^+.$$

Then one shows that

$$\Delta(\xi_n) = \xi_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \xi_n + h \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \xi_k \otimes \xi_{n-k-1} + \dots$$

$$\text{using } \xi_n = [x_n^+, x_0^-].$$

□

Prop Let $V, W \in \text{Rep}^{\text{fd}, \text{hw}}(Y)$ with Drinfeld

polynomials $P_V, P_W \in \mathbb{C}[u]$. Then

$$V \quad W \quad V \otimes W \in \text{Rep}^{\text{fd}, \text{hw}}(Y)$$

$$|\uparrow\rangle \quad |\uparrow\rangle \quad |\uparrow\rangle_{V \otimes W} := |\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle =: |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$$

$$P_V \quad P_W \quad P_{V \otimes W} := P_V \cdot P_W$$

pf From the Lemma,

$$x^+(u) \cdot |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle = \Delta(x^+(u)) \cdot |\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left\{ x^+(u) \otimes 1 + \xi(u) \otimes x^+(u) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + y \otimes y_{>0} \right\} \cdot |\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\xi(u) \cdot |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle = \Delta(\xi(u)) \cdot |\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle$$

$$= \left\{ \xi(u) \otimes \xi(u) + y \otimes y_{>0} \right\} \cdot |\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle$$

$$= \frac{P_V(u+h) P_W(u+h)}{P_V(u) P_W(u)} |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$$

□

Remark From the classification theorem it follows that if V, W and $V \otimes W$ are f.d. irreducible representations, then

$$V \otimes W \simeq W \otimes V$$

However this won't be true in general.

(3.2) Proof of (3.0.5): $\exists P(u) \Rightarrow \dim L(\underline{\lambda}) < \infty$

Assume the irreducible representation $L(\underline{\lambda})$

admits $P(u) \in \mathbb{C}[u]$ monic s.t.

$$1 + \hbar \sum_{r>0} \lambda_r u^{r-1} = \frac{P(u + \hbar)}{P(u)}$$

and write $P(u) = \prod_{k=0}^N (u - a_k)$.

Set

$$V := \mathbb{C}_{a_1}^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}_{a_N}^2$$

$$\leftarrow \mathbb{C}_a^2 := ev_a^* \mathbb{C}^2$$

and let $| \uparrow \dots \uparrow \rangle \in V$ be the tensor product
of the h.w. vectors. Then by Lemma (3.1)

$$\alpha^+(u) \cdot | \uparrow \dots \uparrow \rangle = 0$$

$$\xi(u) \cdot | \uparrow \dots \uparrow \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{u - a_i - \hbar}{u - a_i}$$

Let $V' \subseteq V$ be the submodule generated by $| \uparrow \dots \uparrow \rangle$. By universal property of $M(\underline{\lambda})$ we get a surjective map

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M(\underline{\lambda}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & V' \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 L(\underline{\lambda}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & V' /_{\varphi(M'(\underline{\lambda}))}
 \end{array}$$

↓ f.d. by constr.
 ↗ unique m×l
 proper submodule

Remark As a corollary of the classification theorem we get that every f.d. irreducible representation of \mathcal{Y} appears as a subquotient of a tensor product of fundamental representations.

(3.2) Proof of (3.0.5): $\dim L(\underline{\lambda}) < \infty \Rightarrow \exists P(u)$

This follows from a result due to A. Molev.

(3.2.1) Let L be an irreducible h.w. rep. of Y

s.t.

$$\xi(u) \cdot |\uparrow\rangle = \frac{P_1(u)}{P_2(u)} |\uparrow\rangle$$

with $\deg(P_1) = \deg(P_2)$. List their zeros as

$$\text{zeros}(P_1) = \{a_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq N\}$$

$$\text{zeros}(P_2) = \{b_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq N\}$$

in such a way that the following holds

for any $1 \leq k \leq N$, if

$$(*) \quad I_k := \{b_k - a_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq k\} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \neq \emptyset$$

$$\text{then } b_k - a_k = \min I_k$$

not necessarily in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

Thm. Set $\lambda_i := \frac{b_i - a_i}{k} \in \mathbb{C}$ ($1 \leq i \leq N$).

Then,

$$L \simeq V(\lambda_1, b_1) \otimes \dots \otimes V(\lambda_N, b_N)$$

(3.2.2) Let's finish the proof of the classification theorem relying on this result.

Let $L(\underline{\lambda}) \in \text{Rep}(Y)$ be a f.d. irreducible.

By finite-dimensionality, the action of $\xi(u)$ is rational, thus

$$\xi(u) \cdot |\uparrow\rangle = \frac{P_1(u)}{P_2(u)} |\uparrow\rangle$$

Note that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_1(u)}{P_2(u)} = 1 = \xi(\infty)$$

and $\deg(P_1) = \deg(P_2)$. Enumerating the zeros as in (3.2.1) we get

$$L(\underline{\lambda}) \simeq V(\lambda_1, b_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes V(\lambda_N, b_N)$$

Since $\dim L(\underline{\lambda}) < \infty$, necessarily we get

$$\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \implies a_i = b_i + \hbar \lambda_i$$

and every $V(\lambda_i, b_i)$ has a Drinfeld polynomial.

Thus, set

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(u) &:= \prod_{i=1}^N (u - b_i) \cdots (u - b_i + (\lambda_i - 1)h) \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{k=0}^{\lambda_i - 1} (u - b_i + kh) \\
 a_i &= b_i + \lambda_i h \quad P_{\lambda_i, b_i}(u)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{P_1(u)}{P_2(u)} = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{u - b_i + \lambda_i h}{u - b_i} = \frac{P(u+h)}{P(u)}$$

Finally, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{P(u+h)}{P(u)} &= \frac{Q(u+h)}{Q(u)} \Rightarrow \frac{Q(u)}{P(u)} = \frac{Q(u+h)}{P(u+h)} \\
 &\Rightarrow Q(u) = P(u)
 \end{aligned}$$

□

(3.2.3) Proof of (3.2.1)

Set $V := V(\lambda_1, b_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes V(\lambda_N, b_N)$.

The cyclic span $W := y \cdot m_{\lambda_1}(o) \otimes \cdots \otimes m_{\lambda_N}(o)$
 is h.w. with h.w. $P_1(u)/P_2(u)$. Thus, if we
 prove that V is irreducible we're done.

Claim If $\eta \in V$ s.t. $x^+(u) \cdot \eta = 0$, η is a
 scalar multiple of $m_{\lambda_1}(o) \otimes \cdots \otimes m_{\lambda_N}(o)$. Hence
 the only non-trivial submodule is W .

pf Write $\eta = \sum_{p=0}^M \eta_p \otimes m_{\lambda_N}(p)$.

Since $x_o^+ \cdot \eta = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p=0}^M & \left(x_o^+ \eta_p \otimes m_{\lambda_N}(p) + \right. \\ & \left. + (\lambda_N - p + 1) \eta_p \otimes m_{\lambda_N}(p-1) \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$(1) \text{ Coeff. } m_{\lambda_N}(M) : x_0^+ \cdot \eta_M = 0$$

$$(2) \text{ Coeff. } m_{\lambda_N}(M-1) : x_0^+ \eta_{M-1} = -(\lambda_N - M + 1) \eta_M$$

In the same way, one gets $x_i^+ \cdot \eta_M = 0$.

By induction on N (number of tensor factor)

we get $\eta_M = m_{\lambda_1}(0) \otimes \dots \otimes m_{\lambda_{N-1}}(0)$ (up to a scalar).

Suppose $M > 0$. Then we get through $\Delta(x^+(u))$

$$(3) \quad x^+(u) \cdot \eta_{M-1} + \xi(u) \cdot \eta_M \in \frac{\lambda_N - M + 1}{u - b_N + (M-1)} = 0$$

$$\text{Set } \xi_i := m_{\lambda_1}(0) \otimes \dots \otimes m_{\lambda_i}(1) \otimes \dots \otimes m_{\lambda_{N-1}}(0).$$

Then $\eta_{M-1} \in \text{span} \{ \xi_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq N-1 \}$ by (2).

We have

$$x^+(u) \cdot \xi_i = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{u - b_j + \lambda_j h}{u - b_j} \right) \frac{h}{u - b_i} \eta_M$$

$$\xi(u) \cdot \eta_M = \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{u - a_i}{u - b_i} \cdot \eta_M$$

From (3) we must have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N-1} (b_N - (M-i)\hbar - a_i) = 0$$

therefore $\exists j$ s.t. $b_N - a_j = (M-1)\hbar$ and
by construction (*) it follows that

- $b_N - a_N = \lambda_N \hbar \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cdot \hbar$
- $\lambda_N \leq M-1$

but $\lambda_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ implies $\dim V(\lambda_N, b_N) = \lambda_N + 1$
and $M \leq \lambda_N \downarrow$

Claim $W = V$.

pf Note that

$$V^* \cong V(\lambda_1, b_1 - \hbar) \otimes \cdots \otimes V(\lambda_N, b_N - \hbar)$$

and satisfy (*).

Indeed, the anti-pode gives an identification

$$M_\lambda(a)^* \simeq M_{\lambda}^*(a - \hbar)$$

we then identify the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -modules via the Shapovalov form $M_\lambda^* \simeq M_\lambda$.

If $W \subsetneq V$, then in V^*

$$\text{Ann}(W) := \{ \varphi \in V^* \mid \varphi(w) = 0 \}$$

is a proper non-trivial submodule, which does not contain the h.w. vector, thus contradicting claim 1 for $V^* \downarrow$

References:

- Molčan (ch. 3)
- Chari - Pressley (ch. 12)