

Motivation

Let X be a smooth quasi-projective complex algebraic variety

Assume that \exists action $\mathbb{C}^* \curvearrowright X$.

Let $X^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ be the fixed point locus. Let $i: X^{\mathbb{C}^*} \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion.

We will see that the theory of equivariant cohomology guarantees that \exists

$$\iota^*: H_{\mathbb{C}^*}^*(X) \longrightarrow H_{\mathbb{C}^*}^*(X^{\mathbb{C}^*})$$

Mavlik-OKonkov's goal: construct a map in the other direction:

$$\text{Stab}: H_{\mathbb{C}^*}^*(X^{\mathbb{C}^*}) \longrightarrow H_{\mathbb{C}^*}^*(X) \quad - \text{"stable envelope"}$$

Plan of the lectures:

1. The (co)homology theories we need to work with
2. Actions on varieties \Rightarrow We need to move to the "equivariant world"
3. Symplectic geometry and relations with (1) and (2)
4. Stable envelopes: existence and unicity
5. _____: Symplectic resolutions case

Borel-Moore homology

Goal: define a homology theory $H_*^{BM}(-)$, with complex coefficients, for complex algebraic/analytic varieties, such that:

- covariant w.r.t. proper morphisms: $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ proper $\Rightarrow H_*^{BM}(X) \xrightarrow{f_*} H_*^{BM}(Y)$
- contravariant w.r.t. l.c.i. morphisms: $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ l.c.i. $\Rightarrow H_*^{BM}(Y) \xrightarrow{f^*} H_*^{BM}(X)$
- \exists fundamental class $[X] \in H_{2\dim(X)}^{BM}(X)$

Attention: differences with singular (co)homology theory

1. Given a continuous map $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ between topological spaces:

$$H_*(-) \text{ is covariant, i.e., } H_*(X) \xrightarrow{f_*} H_*(Y)$$

$$H^*(-) \text{ is contravariant, i.e., } H^*(Y) \xrightarrow{f^*} H^*(X)$$

2. Let X be a **projective** smooth irreducible complex algebraic variety of dim n .

Then X is a **compact** oriented $2n$ -dimensional real manifold. Thus, $H_{2n}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$

with generator the fundamental class $[X]$.

References for Borel-Moore homology:

- [CG] Chriss, Ginzburg - Representation theory and complex geometry (Chapter 2)
- [F1] Fulton - Intersection Theory
- [F2] Fulton - Young Tableaux (Appendix B)

Basic Reference for Algebraic Geometry: [H] Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry (Chapter 1)

Assumption: let X be a complex algebraic (/analytic) variety. From now on, simply "variety"

Attention

1. This assumption is very strong: one can work with a large class of "reasonable" spaces (see [CG, Section 2.6]).
2. It would be clear that for a complex algebraic variety X , $H_*^{BM}(X) = H_*^{BM}(X^{\text{an}})$ (X^{an} = analytification of X - see [H, Appendix B])

We are going to give 3 equivalent definitions of the Borel-Moore homology $H_*^{BM}(X)$ of a variety X :

- Def. "Locally Finite"
- — "1-point compactification"
- — "Poincaré duality"

Remark:

1. In [CG] you can find all the references, where the equivalences between these defs are proved.
2. \exists a sheaf theoretic definition of the BM homology: $H_i^{BM}(X) = H^{-i}(X, \mathbb{D}_X)$
 \mathbb{D}_X = dualizing sheaf - cf. [CG, Section 8.3, Formula (8.3.7)]

Definition (LF = Locally finite chains)

Fix $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $C_i^{BH}(X)$ be the free abelian group with basis the formal infinite sums
 $\sum_{\sigma} a_{\sigma} \sigma$
 in contrast with singular homology

where $a_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\sigma: \Delta^i \longrightarrow X$ continuous, and the sum is finite in the following sense:

$$\forall D \subset X \text{ compact}, \exists \text{ only finitely many } a_{\sigma} \neq 0 \text{ s.t. } D \cap \sigma(\Delta^i) \neq \emptyset$$

We have a chain complex: $\dots \rightarrow C_2^{BH}(X) \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1^{BH}(X) \xrightarrow{\partial} C_0^{BH}(X) \longrightarrow 0$

where ∂ is the boundary map of singular chains.

Set

$$H_i^{BH}(X) := \text{Ker}(\partial: C_i^{BH}(X) \longrightarrow C_{i-1}^{BH}(X)) / \text{Im}(\partial: C_{i+1}^{BH}(X) \longrightarrow C_i^{BH}(X))$$

Exercise: If X is compact (as a topological space): $C_*^{BH}(X) = C_*(X) \implies H_i^{BH}(X) = H_i(X)$

Definition (1PC = 1-point compactification)

$\hat{X} = X \cup \{\infty\}$ = 1-point compactification of X . Then

$$H_*^{BH}(X) \simeq H_*(\hat{X}, \infty)$$

(= singular relative homology of the pair (\hat{X}, ∞))

Definition (PD = Poincaré duality)

Let M be a smooth oriented manifold of $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = m$. Let $X \hookrightarrow M$ be a closed embedding as a topological space. Then

$$H_i^{BM}(X) \simeq H^{m-i}(M, M \setminus X)$$

→ cf. Aquistepace, Broglia,
Tognoli, Annali della SNS
1979

Remark

1. $H_*^{BM}(X)$ does not depend on the embedding (see e.g. Fulton, Young Tableaux, Appendix B, Lemmas 1, 2)
2. If X is smooth, one may choose $M = X$. Thus we have

$$H_i^{BM}(X) \simeq H^{m-i}(X)$$

Functorial properties of Borel-Moore homology

► Proper pushforward.

Definition We say that a continuous map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between topological spaces is **proper** if $\forall D \subset Y$ compact, $f^{-1}(D) \subset X$ is compact as well.

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map between locally compact Hausdorff spaces. f is proper $\Leftrightarrow f$ is universally closed.

Remark \exists a notion of proper morphism between algebraic varieties. Note that if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a proper morphism between algebraic varieties, $f: X^{\text{an}} \longrightarrow Y^{\text{an}}$ is proper in the topological sense (for the complex topology).

Example: A closed embedding between algebraic varieties is a proper morphism - [H, Chapter II, Corollary 4.8 - (a)].

Proposition Let X, Y be algebraic varieties. Let $f: X^{\text{an}} \rightarrow Y^{\text{an}}$ be a proper map. Then, there exists

$$\text{proper pushforward map} \quad f_* : H_*^{\text{BM}}(X) \longrightarrow H_*^{\text{BM}}(Y)$$

Remark

1. Use definition 1PC to prove the existence.
2. $H_*^{\text{BM}}(-)$ is covariant w.r.t. proper maps, i.e., one has $(g \circ f)_* = g_* \circ f_*$ for $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ proper.

► Long exact sequence.

We observe that for an open embedding $U \xrightarrow{j} X$ \exists a natural restriction morphism:

$$j^*: H_*^{\text{BM}}(X) \longrightarrow H_*^{\text{BM}}(U)$$

Remark: Let $Y := X \setminus U$ and $M^\circ = M \setminus Y$. Then j^* is given by

$$H^{m-i}(M, M \setminus X) \longrightarrow H^{m-i}(M^\circ, M^\circ \setminus U)$$

Consider a closed embedding $i: Y \hookrightarrow X$, set $U = X \setminus F$. Thus, we have

$$Y \xrightarrow{i} X \xleftarrow{j} F$$

There exists a natural long exact sequence:

$$\dots \rightarrow H_p^{BM}(Y) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_p^{BM}(X) \xrightarrow{j^*} H_p^{BM}(U) \longrightarrow H_{p-1}^{BM}(Y) \longrightarrow \dots$$

Remark:

1. By using def PD one proves the existence of the long exact sequence.

2. Let $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_n$. Then

$$H_i^{BM}(X) = H_i^{BM}(X_1) \oplus \dots \oplus H_i^{BM}(X_n)$$

Fundamental class.

A fundamental feature of BM homology is the existence of the fundamental class $[X]$ for any (not necessarily smooth or compact) variety X .

Proposition. Let X be a complex algebraic variety of dimension n . Then

- $H_i^{BM}(X) = 0$ for $i > 2n$, and
- $H_{2n}^{BM}(X)$ is a free abelian group with a generator for each n -dimensional irreducible component of X .

Proof

all irreducible components are n -dimensional

Assume first that X is smooth and \parallel purely n -dimensional. In this case X is an oriented $2n$ manifold. Thus

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} H_i^{BM}(X) \simeq H^{2n-i}(X) = 0 \quad \text{for } i > 2n \\ H_{2n}^{BM}(X) \simeq H^0(X) \end{array} \right.$$

and the assertion follows by standard algebraic topology. Indeed, recall that for a smooth complex algebraic variety, its connected components are the same as its irreducible ones (standard exercise).

Now, we prove the general statement by induction on the dimension n .

Let Y be the union of all irreducible components of X of dimension less than n , together with the singular locus of X .

Fact: Y is of dimension less than n and $U = X \setminus Y$ is smooth and purely n -dimensional

Thus,

- $H_i^{BM}(Y) = 0$ for $i > n-2$, by induction hypothesis
- $H_i^{BM}(U) = 0$ for $i > n$, since U is smooth (thus we apply the first case we have discussed)

By the long exact sequence relative to $Y \subset X \hookrightarrow U$, we get:

$$0 = H_{2n}^{BM}(Y) \longrightarrow H_{2n}^{BM}(X) \longrightarrow H_{2n}^{BM}(U) \longrightarrow H_{2n-1}^{BM}(Y) = 0$$

Thus $H_i^{BM}(X) = 0$ for $i > n$ and $H_{2n}^{BM}(X) \cong H_{2n}^{BM}(U)$, which is freely generated by the irreducible components of U , which are exactly the restrictions of the n -dimensional components of X . \square

Remark:

1. $H_x^{BM}(-)$ is **not** homotopy invariant: e.g., $H_i^{BM}(\mathbb{C}^n) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{C}^n] & \text{for } i=2n; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

2. Let X be an irreducible variety, let X^{sm} be the smooth locus of X . Then

$$H_{2\dim(X)}^{BM}(X) \cong H_{2\dim(X)}^{BM}(X^{sm})$$

Let X_1, \dots, X_r be the n -dimensional irreducible components of X . Denote:

$$[X] := \sum_{i=1}^r [X_i]$$

Definition: Let $Y \subset X$ be a closed subvariety. We call the fundamental class of Y in X the image of $[Y]$ via the proper pushforward $H_{2\dim(Y)}^{BM}(Y) \longrightarrow H_{2\dim(Y)}^{BM}(X)$.

► Pairing

Proposition Sia X un varietà liscia irreducibile (non necessariamente compatta) di dimensione n .
Allora esiste un pairing non-degenerato:

$$\cap : H_{2n-i}(X) \times H_i^{BM}(X) \longrightarrow H_0(X) \cong \mathbb{C}$$

Proof. Si segue dalla cup product map

$$\cup : H_c^{2n-i}(X) \times H^{2n-j}(X) \longrightarrow H_c^{4n-i-j}(X)$$

insieme alla duality di Poincaré per la coomologia con supporto compatto: $H_c^{2n-i}(X) \cong H_i(X)$

□

Remark: $H_i^{BM}(X) \cong [H_{2n-i}(X)]^* \cong [H_c^i(X)]^*$.

► Künneth formula

\exists un isomorfismo naturale: $\boxtimes : H_i^{BM}(X_1) \otimes H_j^{BM}(X_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{i+j}^{BM}(X_1 \times X_2)$

► Pull back

Definition Let R be a commutative, Noetherian ring with unit. A sequence a_1, \dots, a_r of elements of R is called a **regular sequence** if the ideal I generated by a_1, \dots, a_r is a proper ideal of R and the image of a_i in $R/(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1})$ is a non-zero-divisor for $i=1, \dots, r$.

Example: $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ form a regular sequence.

Definition A closed embedding $i: X \rightarrow Y$ of complex algebraic varieties is a **regular embedding of codimension d** if $\forall x \in X \exists$ an affine neighborhood $U \subset Y$ such that if $I \subset \mathbb{C}[U]$ is the ideal defining $X \cap U$, then I is generated by a regular sequence of length d .

Remark

- Let J_X be the ideal sheaf of X in Y . Then the normal sheaf $N_{X/Y} := (J_X/J_X^2)^\vee$ is a rank d vector bundle.
- Let X and Y be smooth varieties. Then a closed embedding $i: X \rightarrow Y$ is regular.

Definition A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between complex algebraic varieties is **flat** if for $U \subset Y, U' \subset X$ affine open sets with $f(U') \subset U$, the induced map $f^*: \mathbb{C}[U] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[U']$ makes $\mathbb{C}[U']$ a flat $\mathbb{C}[U]$ -module.

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism. One can define the sheaf of relative differentials $\Omega_{X/Y}^1$, which fits into the exact sequence:

$$f^* \Omega_Y^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_X^1 \longrightarrow \Omega_{X/Y}^1 \longrightarrow 0$$

Definition A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is **smooth of relative dimension n** if f is flat and of relative dimension n and $\Omega_{X/Y}^1$ is a vector bundle of rank n .

A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is **local complete intersection of codimension d** if f admits a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{i} & Z & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \\ & \searrow f & & & \nearrow \end{array}$$

where

- i is a closed regular embedding of codimension n , and
- g is a smooth morphism of relative dimension $d+n$.

Example Any morphism between smooth varieties is l.c.i.

Proposition Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a lci morphism between complex algebraic varieties of codimension d . Then \exists

$$f^*: H_i^{BM}(Y) \longrightarrow H_{i+2d}^{BM}(X)$$

Proof. Use the sheaf theoretic description of BM homology - cf [CG], Section 8.3.31.

Convolutions in Borel-Moore homology

► Pairing

Let X, Y be varieties, embedded as topological closed subsets into an m -dimensional oriented smooth manifold M .

⇒ cup-product in relative cohomology:

$$\cup : H^{m-i}(M, M \setminus X) \times H^{m-j}(M, M \setminus Y) \longrightarrow H^{2m-i-j}(M, M \setminus (X \cup Y))$$

It induces the intersection pairing (depending on M):

$$\cap : H_i^{BM}(X) \times H_j^{BM}(Y) \longrightarrow H_{i+j-m}(X \cap Y)$$

► Correspondences.

Now, let M_1, M_2 be smooth connected varieties. Let $X \subset M_1 \times M_2$ be a subvariety such that

The projection $X \longrightarrow M_2$ is proper

We define an operator

$$\Theta_X : H_*^{BM}(M_1) \longrightarrow H_*^{BM}(M_2)$$

— correspondence

depending on the fundamental class $[X]$ of X , in the following way:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & p_1 \text{ is lci since } M_1, M_1 \times M_2 \text{ are smooth} \\
 & \curvearrowleft & \\
 H_*^{BM}(M_1) & \xrightarrow{p_2^*} & H_*^{BM}(M_1 \times M_2) & \xrightarrow{\cap [X]} & H_*^{BM}(X) & \xrightarrow{(p_2)_*} & H_*^{BM}(M_2) \\
 c \longmapsto & \xrightarrow{p_2^*(c)} & & & p_1^*(c) \cap [X] \longmapsto & & (p_2)_*(p_1^*(c) \cap [X])
 \end{array}$$

here, $Y = M_1 \times M_2$

$$\Theta_X(c) := (p_2)_*(p_1^*(c) \cap [X])$$

Remark:

$$1. \deg \Theta_X(c) = \deg(c) + 2\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X - 2\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M_2$$

$$2. \text{Let } c \in H_*^{BM}(X). \text{ Similarly, we can define } \Theta_c : H_i^{BM}(M_1) \longrightarrow H_{i+\deg(c)-2\dim(M_1)}^{BM}(M_2).$$

Malk-OKounkov's idea: Let X be a smooth quasi-projective complex algebraic variety with a \mathbb{C}^* -action.

$$\text{Stab}'' = \Theta_L : H_*^{BM}(X^{\mathbb{C}^*}) \longrightarrow H_*^{BM}(X) \text{ (equivariantly)}$$

$$R'' = \text{Stab}^{-1} \circ \text{Stab}$$

Composition of correspondences

Let M_1, M_2, M_3 be smooth varieties and let $X_{12} \subset M_1 \times M_2$ and $X_{23} \subset M_2 \times M_3$ be subvarieties such that

The projection $X_{12} \longrightarrow M_2$ is proper

and

The projection $X_{23} \longrightarrow M_3$ is proper

Thus $\Theta_{X_{12}}$ and $\Theta_{X_{23}}$ are well-defined, therefore it makes sense to consider $\Theta_{X_{23}} \circ \Theta_{X_{12}}$.

Question: Is $\Theta_{X_{23}} \circ \Theta_{X_{12}}$ a correspondence?

Assumption:

$$p_{13} : p_{12}^{-1}(X_{12}) \times p_{23}^{-1}(X_{23}) \longrightarrow M_1 \times M_3 \text{ is proper}$$

Here, $p_{i,j} : M_i \times M_2 \times M_3 \longrightarrow M_i \times M_j$ denotes the projection, for $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$

Remark: Note that $p_{12}^{-1}(X_{12}) \times p_{23}^{-1}(X_{23}) = X_{12} \times_{M_2} X_{23} = (X_{12} \times M_3) \cap (M_1 \times X_{23})$

Proposition. Set $X_{12} \circ X_{23} = p_{13}(X_{12} \times_{M_2} X_{23})$. Then $\bigoplus_{X_{23}} \circ \bigoplus_{X_{12}} = \bigoplus_{X_{12} \circ X_{23}}$.

Definition. Define the convolution product:

$$\begin{aligned} H_i^{BM}(X_{12}) \times H_j^{BM}(X_{23}) &\longrightarrow H_{i+j-2\dim_C(M_2)}^{BM}(X_{12} \circ X_{23}) \\ (c_{12}, c_{23}) &\longmapsto c_{12} * c_{23} := (p_{13})_* (p_{12}^* c_{12} \cap p_{23}^* c_{23}) \end{aligned}$$

Proposition $\bigoplus_{c_{23}} \circ \bigoplus_{c_{12}} = \bigoplus_{c_{12} * c_{23}}$

Exercises:

1. Let $M = M_1 = M_2 = M_3$ and assume that $X_{12}, X_{23} \subset \Delta(M)$, where $\Delta: M \rightarrow M \times M$ is the diagonal embedding. Compute $X_{12} \circ X_{23}$.

2. Let $M_1 = pt$, $f: M_2 \rightarrow M_3$ proper morphism. Set $X_{12} := M_1 \times M_2 = M_2$ and $X_{23} = \text{Graph}(f)$.

► Show that $X_{12} \circ X_{23} = \text{Im } f$.

► Let $c \in H_*^{BM}(M_2) = H_*^{BM}(X_{23})$. Show that $c * [\text{Graph}(f)] = f_*(c)$.

3. Let $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be a smooth morphism and set $X_{12} := \text{Graph}(f) \subset M_1 \times M_2$, $M_3 = \{pt\}$, $X_{12} = M_2$.

► Show that $X_{12} \circ X_{23} = M_1$.

► Let $c \in H_*^{BM}(M_2) = H_*^{BM}(X_{12})$. Show that $[\text{Graph}(f)] * c = f^*(c)$.

Final remark: The constructions described before work for any homology theory for which one has proper pushforwards, pullback w.r.t. lc.i. morphisms, and fundamental classes, e.g.:

G_0 -theory, Chow groups, elliptic cohomology

||

$$G_0(X) = \left\{ [F] : F \text{ coherent sheaf on } X \right\} / \sim$$